

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Lockwood, I, ITE Traffic Calming Definition, ITE Journal; July 1997, pg. 72.
2. Downey, Dave, “Traffic Calming Sweeps Nation; Temecula Official Say Circles, Bumps Don’t Work Locally,” www.nctimes.com, January 18, 2000.
3. City of Houston, “Policies and Procedures for Speed Hump Installation and Removal,” June 1, 2001.
4. Fairfax, VA, “Traffic Calming Policy and Devices,” City of Fairfax, VA.
5. Urban Carlton C. et al. Transportation Frontiers for the Next Millennium: “Comparing Policies and Programs in Metro Atlanta,” August 1999, ite.org/documents/AHA99B17.pdf.
6. City of Nepean (Ontario), “Neighborhood Traffic Management: Policy Guide,” 1995 ite.org/traffic/documents/tcir0008.pdf.
7. Bunte, Leslie W., “Traffic Calming Programs and Emergency Response: A Competition of Two Public Goods, Master’s Thesis, University of Texas at Austin, May 2000.
8. MacInyre, M. A. and Graham, J. R., “The Effects of the Installation of Speed Humps on Point-Source Noise Levels.”
9. FHWA, Traffic Calming: State of the Practice, ITE/FHWA, August 1999.
10. FHWA, State of the Art; Residential Traffic Management, 1980.
11. Canadian Guide to Neighborhood Traffic Calming, www.tac.ca/programs/calming.htm, Canadian Institute of Transportation Engineering, June 1999.
12. Portland Bureau of Fire, Rescue and Emergency Service and the Bureau of Traffic Management, “The Influence of Traffic Calming Devices on Fire Vehicles Travel Times,” January 1996.
13. Warren, Davey L. “Engineering Improvements for Enhancing Safety and Operation” Federal Highway Administration, 1998. {phone: (703) 285-2426}
14. Savage, Joseph P. et al “A Guidebook for Residential Traffic Management,” Washington State Department of Transportation, December 1994, ite.org/traffice/documents/tcir0060a.pdf.
15. Traffic Calming CD-Rom, Institute of Transportation Engineers and Federal Highway Administration 1999.

16. Federal Highway Administration, “State of the Art: Residential Traffic Management,” FHWA, 1980.
17. City of Berkeley, “Traffic Calming in Berkeley,” City of Berkeley Advance Planning Division, www.ci.berkeley.ca.us/PW/Traffic/trafcalm.html, 1998.
18. ITE, “Guidelines for the Design and Application of Speed Humps,” Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, DC.
19. Conger, Nancy S., “Neighborhood Traffic Calming Program for Residential Streets: Town of Brookline,” MS, 1995.
20. Pennsylvania Department of Transportation, “Pennsylvania’s Traffic Calming Handbook,” Publication No. 383, January 2001.
21. Fehr & Peers Associates, Inc.; Transportation Engineering Consultants, www.trafficalming.org, 1999.
22. City of Portland, Traffic Calming Program, www.trans.ci.portland.org.us/trafficalming/devices, 1994.
23. Delray Beach, “Neighborhood Traffic Calming Policy and Guidelines”, Delray Beach Environment Services Department, www.delrayesd.com/traffic-calming, 2001.
24. City of Fairfax, “Traffic Calming Policy and Guidelines”, Fairfax Department of Public Works, www.ci.fairfax.va.us/services/publicworks/calmingtraffic.html, 2001.
25. City of Charlottesville, The Neighborhood Planning and Development Services/Engineering, www.charlottesville.org/government/trafcalm.html
26. Montgomery County, “Residential Traffic Calming Program”, Division of Traffic and Parking Services, Department of Public Works and Transportation, 1998.
27. Prince George’s County, “Neighborhood Traffic Management Program”, Department of Public Works and Transportation, 1996.
28. City of San Diego, “The Neighborhood Traffic Safety Program,” San Diego Traffic Engineering Division, 1994.
29. Chicago, “Neighborhood Traffic Controls in Chicago,” Chicago Department of Transportation, Bureau of Traffic, 1997.
30. Tempe, “Neighborhood Traffic Management Program”, Tempe Public Works Department, Transportation Division, 1996.
31. Arlington County, “Neighborhood Traffic Calming” Department of Public Works Planning Division, 1999.